Crystal Structure of C₈Al_{2.1}B₅₁

BY A. J. PERROTTA,* W. D. TOWNES AND J. A. POTENZA[†]

Institute for Exploratory Research, U.S. Army Electronics Command, Fort Monmouth, New Jersey 07703, U.S.A.

(Received 18 September 1967 and in revised form 24 June 1968)

X-ray analysis of $C_8Al_{2} \cdot IB_{51}$ ($C2/m 2/c 2_1/m$; a = 5.690, b = 8.881, c = 9.100 Å) based on full-matrix refinement of complete three-dimensional data gives a distorted hexagonal close-packed B_{12} icosahedral structure in which each icosahedral B atom is six coordinated. Half of the icosahedral B atoms form single B–B bonds between icosahedra; the remaining half are linked to C by single B–C bonds. Statistically distributed intericosahedral B atoms on a center of symmetry complete a tetrahedral environment about C and develop linear C–B–C chains similar to those found in rhombohedral boron carbide. Indeed, all B–B and B–C bond distances closely approximate values obtained for rhombohedral boron carbide. Aluminum atoms are located on partially occupied sites between icosahedra and in all cases Al-B and Al–C nearest neighbor contacts are at least 0.2 Å smaller than the sum of the appropriate covalent radii. Interestingly, an Al atom competes statistically with the B atom in the C–B–C chain such that when Al is present, B is not. The stoichiometry presented here leads to questions concerning the bonding schemes described in previous analyses based on different stoichiometries. Isotropic refinement gave $R_F = 0.050$ for 762 observed diffraction maxima.

Introduction

Crystal structures of compounds with high boron content have been of continual interest because boron carbide, the polymorphs of elemental boron and the compound considered here all contain B_{12} icosahedra, which, in conjunction with intericosahedral atoms, give interesting valence structures. Of the higher aluminum borides, only the structure of AlB₁₀ has been reported (Will, 1963, 1966, 1967), and in this case there has been uncertainty regarding the stoichiometry and the nature of the intericosahedral atoms, in particular concerning the possible presence of carbon. The first point, stimulated by the non-integral number of 5.2 AlB₁₀ molecules per unit cell, was considered (Lipscomb & Britton, 1960) in an illuminating discussion of the valence structures of the higher borides. One report (Matkovich, Economy & Giese, 1964) states that the true stoichiometry of AlB_{10} is C₄AlB₂₄, Z=2, and that carbon, necessary for crystal formation, links two icosahedra to form infinite chains. Additional results for C_4AlB_{24} were then submitted (Will, 1968) which suggested that C atoms joined three icosahedra and formed C-Al-C chains. Recently, in an excellent review article (Hoard & Hughes, 1967), it was suggested that the 'ternary compound of ideal formula for Al, B and C is C_4AlB_{26} , Z=2, and that carbon is present in C-B-C chains analogous to those in the structure of rhombohedral boron carbide. All Al atoms were assumed to be interstitial. From independent X-ray data collected on the same crystal used in the analysis based on AlB_{10} , which we now conclude to have the formula $C_8Al_{2\cdot 1}B_{51}$ (per unit cell), we report the detailed structure of this interesting boride.

Structure determination

The space group, cell dimensions and density previously determined at this laboratory (Kohn, Katz & Giardini, 1958) are: $C2/m 2/c 2_1/m$; $a = 5.690 \pm 0.001$, $b = 8.881 \pm 0.001$ 0.001, $c = 9.100 \pm 0.002$ Å; $\rho = 2.537 \pm 0.003$ g.cm⁻³. A total of 762 observed reflections were collected for *Hkl*, $0 \le H \le 11$ with Mo K a radiation and a moving crystal, moving counter technique with a G.E. XRD-6 semiautomatic diffractometer. In addition, 471 reflections with $\sin \theta / \lambda \le 1.21$ were too small to be observed. Intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and converted to a set of structure factors. The tabular crystal had dimensions $0.57 \times 0.49 \times$ 0.23 mm; absorption corrections were not made. Unit weights were used for all refinements; however, the sensitivity of the final atomic parameters to the choice of weighting scheme was tested by refinement with weights inversely proportional to |F|. Although R_F increased slightly, the two methods showed no coordinate differences greater than 1.5 times the standard error of the determination (Table 1), and all but two were within the error.

A structure factor calculation based on coordinates given previously (Matkovich, Economy & Giese, 1964) yielded $R_F = 0.35$ for the five unique icosahedral *B* atoms. An initial three-dimensional Fourier synthesis was calculated by the use of 180 large structure factors, none of which changed sign during the remaining stages of the analysis. In addition to the B atoms of the icosahedra, four other maxima were located, one approximately as large as a B atom, the remaining three between one-sixth and one-third of a B atom in height.

^{*} Present address: Union Carbide Research Institute, Box 278, Tarrytown, N.Y. U.S.A.

[†] Present address: Chemistry Department, Rutgers, The State University, New Brunswick, N.J. U.S.A.

Utilizing only the B scattering curve, two cycles of least-squares refinement of the icosahedral atoms (1-5), the larger intericosahedral maximum (6) and one well-defined smaller maximum (9), followed by isotropic refinement of all temperature factors except that for atom 9 since full occupancy there was not expected lowered the discrepancy index to 0.15. The temperature factors of the icosahedral atoms were self-consistent and ranged from 0.45 to 0.52 Å², while that for atom 6 was 0.18. The remaining two maxima, in chemically unreasonable positions, were not tested; instead, a second three-dimensional electron density map was calculated yielding three additional small maxima. The first of these, atom 8, was added (with an adjusted multiplicity corresponding to its peak height); two cycles of refinement reduced R_F to 0.114. A second maximum further reduced R_F to 0.084. The last maximum from the Fourier synthesis was added but did not refine; it was not found in the final electron density map calculated from all observed structure factors. Finally, the atom multipliers of atoms 7-9 were refined. This, followed by coordinate and temperature refinement, gave $R_F = 0.067$ for a 9 atom all-boron structure.

In an attempt to determine the atomic numbers of intericosahedral atoms, bond distances were calculated. Intraicosahedral B-B linkages varied from 1.77 to 1.85 Å in agreement with expectations; atom 6 was linked to three icosahedral B atoms at 1.62 Å, a value very close to the sum of the covalent radii of B and C (0.9 and 0.77 Å, respectively). This, coupled with the low temperature factor of atom 6 and a careful electron microprobe analysis of the diffraction crystal itself which gave a C concentration at about the level of the boron carbide standard, allowed assignment of C to this position. Approximately 10.3 wt. % Al was also determined by microprobe analysis, but since C analysis is difficult by this method owing to hydrocarbon cracking and since no B analysis could be performed, the number of Al atoms could not be fixed with certainty. However, it appeared to be much closer to that required for C₄AlB₂₄, Z=2 than that for AlB₁₀, $Z=5\cdot 2$.

The atomic arrangement about atom 9 shown in Fig. 1 was interpreted as follows. Atom 9 is on a center of symmetry fixing its coordinates. The carbon-atom 9 distance of 1.46 Å eliminates Al at that site leaving only C and B as possibilities. The extremely short 7-9 and non-centrosymmetrically related 7-7 distances of 1.20 and 1 23Å respectively, eliminate both the simultaneous occupancy of sites 7 and 9 and uniform occupancy of site 7. Thus we concluded that when site 9 was occupied, 7 must be vacant, and that when 9 was vacant, at most a centrosymmetrically related pair of atoms was present at site 7. Other possibilities to include large thermal vibrations about the center of symmetry which could encompass site 7 or the presence of a single statistically distributed atom between 7 and 9 were not excluded. The latter possibility was eliminated on the basis of a structure factor calculation with a single atom replacing 7 and 9; this atom refined back to the center of symmetry. Large anisotropic thermal vibrations of atom 9 were subsequently excluded on the basis of anisotropic temperature factor calculations. The 6–7 distance of 1.77 Å is smaller than the sum of the covalent radii of Al(1.4 Å) and C, but greater than the sum of C and B so that no conclusions about atom 7 could be drawn on that basis. Atom 8, with nearest neighbor distances ranging from 1.95 to 2.01 Å, was considered to be aluminum.

At this point, the atoms occupying sites 9 and 7 were still in doubt and a test of the C_4AlB_{24} structure helped the atomic assignments. Atoms 1-5 were again assigned as B (fully occupied B icosahedra giving the required 48 B atoms), atom 6 was considered to be C giving the required 8 C atoms; this left three partially occupied intericosahedral sites which had to be aluminum. Complete refinement of all parameters gave $R_F = 0.056$ for atomic aluminum and $R_F = 0.055$ for triply ionized aluminum which is necessary to account for the 6-9 bond length of 1.47 Å. Both calculations gave approximately 2.6 Al atoms (versus two for the stoichiometry) for the three partially filled sites. The assignment of triply ionized Al to the center of symmetry was considered unlikely since it would not be consistent with a minimum of charge transfer (Hoard & Hughes, 1967). Additionally, the carbon 9 distance of 1.467 Å was strikingly similar to the short B-C distance of 1.435 Å found in boron carbide. Therefore, a model with B at the center of symmetry was tested and similar complete refinement gave $R_F = 0.053$. Atom 9 was considered to be boron, but the presence of some carbon could not be excluded.

A model of the nine atom structure showed holes large enough to accommodate additional atoms, probably aluminum; hence, a difference Fourier based on signs determined from all B and C atoms was calculated. Two large maxima corresponding to atoms 7 and 8 were observed; in addition, two extremely small peaks within the holes were detected at about the resolution of the map. These were all added as fractional Al reducing R_F to 0.050; one of these would not refine and was assumed false. The small real maximum added only 0.15 Al atoms to the unit cell. Other models to include the presence of C (Hoard & Hughes, 1967), Al (Lipscomb & Britton, 1960) or vacancies in the icosahedra



Fig. 1. Bond distances in the vicinity of the center of symmetry. $r_{\rm C} = 0.77$ Å; $r_{\rm B} \le 0.9$ Å; $r_{\rm A1} = 1.4$ Å.

												Multi-		tional occu-
	Type	Will†	x	$10^4 \sigma_x$. У	$10^4 \sigma_y$	Z		$10^4 \sigma_z$	В	$10^2 \sigma_B$	plier	$\sigma_{ m mult.}$	pancies
1	В	B(5)	0.1615	3	0.0170	2		34	-	0.4444	2	8		1.00
2	В	B(4)	0.3403	3	0.1805	2		34	-	0.2076	2	8		1.00
3	В	$\mathbf{B}(1)$	0		0.0467	2	0.41	38	2	0.4412	2	8	_	1.00
4	В	B(2)	1/2	_	0.2492	2	0.59	02	2	0.4341	2	8		1.00
5	В	B(3)	0.2543	2	0.1518	1	0.34	80	1	0.4856	5 1	16		1.00
6	С	С	$\frac{1}{2}$	-	0.1529	2	0.43	90	2	0.4135	1	8		1.00
7	Al	Al(3)	0.3175	12	0.0414	7	0.55	24	7	0.4878	5 7	0.937	0.023	0.06
8	Al	Al(1)	0	-	0.2105	5		34	-	0.3784	5	0.621	0.014	0.16
9	В	Al(2)	$\frac{1}{2}$	-	0			1/2	-	0.6446	5 3	3.67	0.04	0.92
10	Al	—	0	-	0.16	-	0.62	-	-	0.45	-	0.12	—	0.02
	β_{11}	$\sigma\beta_{11}$	β_{22}		$\sigma\beta_{22}$	β33	$\sigma\beta_{33}$	β_{12}	:	$\sigma\beta_{12}$	β_{13}	$\sigma\beta_{13}$	β_{23}	$\sigma\beta_{23}$
1	31.4	5 2.9	14.8		1.2	13.6	1.1	- 5.8	;	1.5	0	_	0	
2	55.8	8 3.3	9.4		1.1	15.2	1.1	-1.0)	1.6	Ō	-	0	-
3	41.3	3 2.9	13.0		1.2	11.8	1.1	0)	_	0	_	1.1	1.0
4	32.6	6 2.7	13.4		1.2	13.9	1.2	0)	-	0	-	-2.2	1.0
5	37.8	8 1.7	17.2		0.7	13.2	0·7	2.9)	1.3	2.4	1.0	-0.4	0.8
6	26.1	1 2.0	17.0		0.9	12.1	0.9	0)	-	0	-	- 3.8	1.0
7	50.9	9 11.7	6.2		4·0	16.1	4.3	-10.6	; ;	6.1	-6.3	6.6	1.6	3.7
8	23.0	0 7.8	11.2		3.5	13.9	3.3	0)	-	0	-	0	-
9	44.7	7 4.6	21.9		2.1	22.4	2 ·0	0)	-	0	-	1.4	1.8

Table 1. Final atomic parameters*

* Atomic coordinates are in fractions of cell edges. Isotropic temperature factors and coordinates were taken from the 0.050 calculation. Anisotropic thermal parameters are of the form $\exp[-(h^2\beta_{11}+k^2\beta_{22}+l^2\beta_{33}+2hk\beta_{12}+2h\beta_{13}+2kl\beta_{23})]$ and were taken from the 0.043 calculation. Anisotropic temperature factors and errors have been multiplied by 10⁴ for the tabulation.

^{\dagger} Atom designation given for C₄AlB₂₄ by Will (1969).

Table 2. Peak	heights from	$R_F = 0.050$	Fourier*
---------------	--------------	---------------	----------

	Туре	Height	e.Å-3	Site multiplicity	fractional occupancies
1	B(icosahedron)	2293	4.96	8	0.99
2	B(icosahedron)	2208	4.78	8	0.96
3	B(icosahedron)	2404	5.20	8	1.04
4	B(icosahedron)	2348	5.08	8	1.02
5	B(icosahedron)	2299	4.98	16	1.00
6	C C	2780	6.02	8	1.00
7	Al	433	0.94	16	0.02
8	Al	1129	2.44	4	0.19
9	В	1697	3.67	4	0.73
10	Al	110	0.24	8	0.65

* 1 e.Å⁻³ was taken to be 1/5 the average height of atoms 1–5.

Table 3. Interatomic distances (Å)*

T 1.3		2	(
Lan	Ie.	- 1	(CONT.)
I UU.		-	(00,)

2.017

1.949

1.946

2.186

1.955 2.261

1 - 8

2-7

2-8

2 - 10

1-10

Annoront

Atoms Distance	Atoms	Distance 2.204	25 55'	1·817 1·784	6–7 6–7′	1·768 2·015
1-1' 1.838 1-3 1.840	3-7' 3-10	1·993 1·867	B- B inter 1-2'	icosahedral 1·773	6–8 6–10 7–9	2·104 1·755 1·200
$ \begin{array}{rrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrrr$	47 410 5-7	2·145 2·104 1·974	3-3' B-	-C	Al-	Al
3-4 1·813 3-5 1·823	5-7' 5-8	2·133 2·060 2·104	46 56 69	1.625 1.467	7–7 7–7″ 7–7‴	2·076 2·400
4-5 1.764	or less th	2^{-194}	B(C))–Al 2·017	7–10 8–10 10–10′	2·189 1·236 2·304

* Only distances about equal to or less then the sum of the atomic radii are reported. Individual standard deviations can be calculated from the standard errors given for the coordinates and cell edges. The random standard error for B-B and C-B bond lengths is about 0.003 Å while those for Al-B and Al-C range up to 0.02 Å.

were tested by refining the multipliers of those sites, but no significant changes were detected. R_F remained at 0.050. Anisotropic refinement gave a final R_F of 0.043; however, such refinement is questionable for a structure with partially occupied sites. For completeness, the anisotropic temperature factors are given in Table 1. A final three-dimensional electron density map was calculated from the $R_F = 0.050$ parameters; peak heights and atom assignments are shown in Table 2. With the assumption that the average B peak height corresponds to 5 e.Å⁻³, the C position contains 6.02 e.Å^{-3} , confirming full occupancy at that site.

Table 4(a). Observed and calculated structure amplitudes*

7 ₀ 7 ₂	7 ₀ 7 ₀	7 ₀ 7 ₀	7 ₀ 7 ₀	7 ₀ 7 ₀	7 , 7 ,	7 , 7,	. 7 0 7 0
LC 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	16 7 188 141 20 230 230 230 230 21 22 230 230 230 230 20 230 230 230 230 230 21 22 230 230 230 230 21 23 230 230 230 230 22 23 230 230 230 230 22 8 230 230 231 211	9 96 a_176 a_286	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	221 22 4 6 7 7 7 8 25 9 9 9 12 5 5 8 16 22 7 7 8 26 9 9 9 12 5 5 7 1 1 1 6 6 8 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 7 7 1 1 5 7 7 1 1 1 7 7 1 1 2 7 1 1 1 1 1 5 7 7 1 1 1 1 1 1 5 7 7 1 1 1 1	7 9 193 10	2 0 0 193 193 54 193 55 194 14 0 0 2 21 2 1 1 24 2 2 1 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	$ \begin{array}{c} 68 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 9 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 2 \\ 6 \\ 8 \\ 8 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1$
2219201000105441444445555555555555514552115218755934444845771419111411120 92192005339942649455555555555555555145531452187559445191211111120 921945555555555555555555555145528511521875594455554419111111120 919141915444444555555555555555555145551455514555145554419111111120 919141915551111111100111111111001 9191419155511111111001111111111001 9191419191419111111111001 9191419191419111111111001 9191419191419111111111001 919141919141911111111001 919141919141911111111001 919141919111111111001 919141919111111111001	6 12 284 280 615 282 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615 615	1 4 8 23 <td>15 92 82 130 15 1 166 1300 17 102 17 1 166 1300 17 1122 17 1 166 1107 17 1122 1117 1 17 1125 113 113 113 1 17 122 116 150 117 1 17 122 116 150 117 1 17 122 118 150 115 1 17 122 118 150 115 1 17 122 118 150 112 1 1 122 122 122 122 1 1 122 152 152 153 1 1 122 122 152 153 153 1 1 122 122 152 153 153 153</td> <td># 1969955845662816488166 6T9915T191491719284 989577858599955845662848889595959999999999999999999999999999</td> <td>6 12 0 0 4 10 5 23 17 14 15 25 15 17 1 12 25 14 12 25 25 17 14 15 25 14 12 25 14 12 25 14 12 25 14 12 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14</td> <td>1901 1905 1901 1905 1901 1905</td> <td>·</td>	15 92 82 130 15 1 166 1300 17 102 17 1 166 1300 17 1122 17 1 166 1107 17 1122 1117 1 17 1125 113 113 113 1 17 122 116 150 117 1 17 122 116 150 117 1 17 122 118 150 115 1 17 122 118 150 115 1 17 122 118 150 112 1 1 122 122 122 122 1 1 122 152 152 153 1 1 122 122 152 153 153 1 1 122 122 152 153 153 153	# 1969955845662816488166 6T9915T191491719284 989577858599955845662848889595959999999999999999999999999999	6 12 0 0 4 10 5 23 17 14 15 25 15 17 1 12 25 14 12 25 25 17 14 15 25 14 12 25 14 12 25 14 12 25 14 12 14 12 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14	1901 1905 1901 1905 1901 1905	·

* Calculated from the $R_F = 0.050$ parameters. Scattering curves for B, C and Al were obtained from *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography* (1962). The final scale factor, by which F_{calc} is multiplied to place it on the observed scale is 1.023.

Table 4(b). Unobserved* and calculated structure amplitudes

h=0 18 0 14 1	F _c 50 14 37 4	6 354 7 42	15	Fc 0 15 1 21	F _c 11 9 34 1 10 16
12 2 14 2 16 2 18 2	46 14 17 16 11 8 33 14 23 6	7 2 7 2 8 1 8 1	9 13 3 11	1 11 1 40 2 21 2 4 2 20	7 10 37 11 10 46 1 11 11 3 11 25 5 11 28
12 3 16 3 18 3 0 4	9 10 37 14 53 16 47 14	9 1 9 1 10 70	13 5 7 11	3 35 4 39 4 3 4 8	7 11 6 5 12 1 7 12 8 9 12 26
14 4 18 4 10 5 18 5	49 8 36 10 31 14 75 8		15 1 3 7	4 2 5 30 5 17 5 6	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
12 6 6 7 10 7 2 8 8 8	33 12 34 14 47 2 30 8 25 10	12 99 12 99 13 24 13 19 13 19	9 11 13 11 13	5 22 5 3 5 15 6 57 6 76	5 14 39 3 15 31 h=8 10 1 18 10 2 57
14 8 10 9 14 9 10 11 2 12	18 0 55 8 77 12 55 10 7 0	14 64 14 10 14 21 15 39 16 84	15 3 5 7 13	6 37 7 44 7 12 7 21 7 40	4 3 4 6 3 46 10 3 9 6 4 55 8 4 30
8 12 6 13 10 13 12 13 0 14	56 2 45 8 38 4 46 6 43 0	16 74 16 36 17 61 17 24 18 21	15 5 15 3 7	7 96 8 7 8 36 9 36 9 25	12 4 54 2 5 37 8 5 32 10 5 18 12 5 7
2 14 6 14 8 14 12 14 4 15	30 2 56 h=3 81 5 36 15	18 4 0 24 1 42	11 13 5 7	9 30 9 21 10 51 10 37 10 7	8 6 26 8 7 49 10 7 9 2 8 42 6 8 47
10 15 0 16 4 16 8 16 6 17	25 15 40 17 40 13 53 17	2 2 3	3 7 11 13	11 9 11 21 11 51 11 5 12 9	8 8 4 2 9 55 8 9 58 10 9 21 0 10 46
8 17 2 18 6 18 2 19	83 17 2 3 29 15 3 ⁴ 3	4 3 6 5	7 9 3 5 7	12 68 12 69 13 25 13 25	8 10 7 6 11 75 2 12 10 6 12 39 2 14 18
hal 1 0 15 0 17 0 7 1	54 16 9 1 16 7 20 11	7 11 7 51 7 6 7 35	35937	14 29 14 55 14 32 15 11	h=9 5 0 21 3 0 20 11 0 22
9 1 13 1 17 1 11 2 15 2	18 13 52 15 8 5 4 11 39 13	7 70 7 59 8 41 8 25 8 74	3 5 1 3	16 44 16 18 17 22 17 11	11 1 70 9 2 57 7 2 24 5 2 44
1 3 13 3 17 3 7 4	55 15 39 7 65 13 24 9 35 13	8 3: 9 2: 9 2: 10 2: 10 8:	h=6 6 10 12	0 20 0 21 0 21 2	7 3 53 11 3 53 5 4 23 11 4 31
15 4 7 5 11 5 13 5	8 15 9 13 18 1 29 5	10 2 11 2 12 1 12 2	10 2 12 16	1 4 2 17 2 30 2 87	3 5 38 7 5 67 11 5 74 3 6 45
15 6 17 6 5 7 7 7	50 1 29 5 2 7 1 11	14 14 14 14 14 14 5	14 8 8	339 46 7	3 7 68 7 7 1 5 8 17 7 8 1 3 9 7
17 7 5 8 9 8 15 8	21 5 8 9 11 5 33 9	15 2 15 3 16 2 16 1	14 14 8 12	7 94 8 10 8 35	7 9 15 3 10 36 5 10 22 3 11 29
7 9 11 9 13 9 15 9	51 h=4 1 6 24 16 81 10	17 1 2 1 2 2 4	14 10 12 0	9 8 9 15 10 50 10 41	h=10 2 0 2 8 0 45 2 1 7
7 10 13 10 15 10 3 11 7 11	5 4 35 6 80 10 21 14 6 16	3 1 3 2 3 2 3 2 3 3	12 6 10 12 6	10 43 11 72 11 9 11 90 12 3	8 1 30 10 1 2 2 2 25 4 2 23 2 3 40
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22 8 5 16 2 2 59 10 58 14	4 1 4 3 5 2 5 2	0 8 10 6 2	12 9 12 39 13 10 14 49 14 6	4 3 66 6 3 5 8 3 26 0 4 64 2 4 35
13 12 3 13 5 13 7 13 13 13	59 16 37 16 36 8 24 10 36 16	5 5 6 5 7 1 7 6	8 2 2 3 4=7 5	14 17 15 55 16 4 0 19	8 6 42 4 7 22 2 8 2: 4 9 9 2 10 6
3 14 9 14 11 14 3 15 7 15	33 2 73 12 10 10 11 12 4 0	8 2 8 6 9 5 9 4 10 1	9 15 5 7 3 9	0 43 0 2 1 46 1 7 1 24	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
11 15 5 16 7 16 1 17 3 17	55 8 25 12 71 10 15 2 7 4	10 10 1 11 4 12 3 12 9	13 5 3 7 11 3 15 0 1	1 28 2 50 2 15 2 31 3 31	7 1 9 3 3 32 3 4 17
5 17 7 17 3 18 5 18	36 12 21 2 63 8 54 10 2	12 13 13 13 13 13 14	9 3 7 13 9 5 7 7 8 11	3 40 3 30 4 28 4 12 4 16	
12 0 18 0 8 1 10 1	24 8 15 4 14 6 14 8	14 3 15 2 15 7	2 13 7 11 5 13	4 27 5 14 5 25 6 58	
14 2 16 2 18 2 0 4	23 6 8 2 -	16 7 16 1 16 1	2 3 5 7 9 7 13	7 22 21 7 7 22 7 7 22 7 7 22	
12 4 16 5 6 6 12 6	57 0 54 h=5 53 1 42 5		2 1 5 9 6 3 0 7	8 50 8 7 8 12 9 13 9 51	

* All unobserved structure amplitudes have a value of 25, approximately one-half the value of the lowest observed struc-

ture amplitude.

Site 9 gives 2.94 B atoms, while 7 and 8 yield 1.15 and 0.75 Ål atoms respectively. This nine-atom structure then leads to the stoichiometry $C_8Al_{2\cdot0}B_{51}$ which increases the calculated density of 2.42 g.cm⁻³ for C₄AlB₂₄, Z=2 to the more agreeable value 2.52 g.cm⁻³. Inclusion of the extremely small peak (10) yields $C_8Al_{2\cdot15}B_{51}$ and a calculated density of 2.53 g.cm⁻³. Our empirical formula therefore, is quite close to $C_8Al_2B_{52}$, the stoichiometry of the ideal ternary compound of Hoard & Hughes.

Discussion

Final atomic coordinates, isotropic temperature factors and atom multipliers from the $R_F = 0.050$ data are shown in Table 1. It should be noted that the temperature factors of the B atoms are reasonable and selfconsistent. Interatomic distances are given in Table 3. Observed and calculated structure amplitudes are shown in Table 4.

The crystal structure, viewed along the a and c axes (Fig. 2), clearly reveals distorted hexagonal close packing of B icosahedra. Sixfold coordination about each icosahedral B atom is completed by C in two symmetrydistinct instances and by a B atom from an adjacent icosahedron in the remaining two cases. Thus, six of the icosahedral B atoms form B-B bonds and six form B-C bonds. Boron atoms on a partially occupied center of symmetry complete a tetrahedral environment for C (Table 5) and develop linear C-B-C chains similar to those found in rhombohedral boron carbide. In addition, C links three icosahedra to form a three-dimensional network. This type of bonding is reminiscent of that found in boron carbide; the analogy is enhanced by a comparison of bond distances for the two structures (Table 6). All B-B and B-C bonds in $C_8Al_{2.1}B_{51}$ are only 0.02 to 0.05 Å longer than those in boron carbide, supporting the suggestion (Hoard & Hughes, 1967) that this ternary phase is in fact an aluminum stabilized twinned derivative of the more simple boron carbide. The suggestion is strengthened further by the observation that 'C₄AlB₂₄' undergoes a topotactic transition with loss of Al at about 2000°C to the boron carbide structure (Giese, Economy & Matkovich, 1966). This type of transition now seems reasonable since similar intericosahedral bonding exists for both structures; however, the details of the mechanism are still not entirely clear. Use of the stoichiometry C₄AlB₂₄ (Matkovich, Economy & Giese, 1964; Will, 1969) with C-Al-C chains does not lead to as direct an interpretation of this transition.

Table 5. Tetrahedral angles about carbon

Atoms	Angle
46-5	115·8°
4-6-9	99.6
5-6-5'	118.7
5-6-9	100.8



(a)



Fig.2. (a) The crystal structure of $C_8Al_{2} \cdot B_{51}$ as viewed along d(001). (b) As viewed along d(100).

Bond type	$C_8Al_{2} \cdot B_{51}$	Boron carbide
B-B(icosahedral)	1.816	1.789
B-B(intericosahedral)	1.774	1.718
B(icosahedron)-C	1.623	1.604
B(chain)-C	1.467	1.435

* Values taken from Hoard & Hughes, 1967.

Questions as to the stabilizing role of Al now arise quite naturally. As stated earlier, all Al atoms are on partially occupied sites in vacancies between icosahedra. One of these atoms competes statistically with the B atom of the C-B-C- chain while the remaining Al atoms are irregularly coordinated to B atoms at distances ranging from 1.76 to 2.26 Å. All Al-B and Al-C nearest neighbor contacts are shorter than the sum of the covalent atomic radii by approximately 0.2-0.3 Å. Hence, whereas the boron and carbon framework is expanded with respect to boron carbide, aluminum is apparently in sterically forcing positions. At first, one might suspect that Al atoms contribute electronically to the stability of the structure by donating electrons. Such a view would be consistent with the approximate valence theory for boron carbide which predicts closedshell B_{12}^{2-} icosahedra linked to $(C-C-C)^{2+}$ chains (Longuet-Higgins & Roberts, 1955); a slight modification, in accord with recent investigations, formally gives $B_{11}C^-$ icosahedra and $(C-B-C)^+$ chains with no loss of generality. For our structure with B_{12} icosahedra and C-B-C chains, the electron count is one short of satisfying the theory, suggesting a role for Al. However, the boron carbide of composition $B_{13}C_2$ which also contains B_{12} icosahedra and C-B-C chains, exists in the simple rhombohedral modification as an ordered chemical compound (Hoard & Hughes, 1967) and so arguments based on electron donor behavior for Al should be regarded with circumspectiom. The role of Al, be it dominated by steric, electronic, thermodynamic or kinetic considerations, will be understood completely only after more information becomes available.

For a thorough discussion of the boron-rich phases which treats many of the topics considered here more fully, the reader is referred to a recent review article (Hoard & Hughes, 1967).

We thank Professor W.N.Lipscomb for helpful discussions on much of the subject matter. We also thank Dr Joel Shappirio for performing the electron microprobe analyses.

References

- GIESE, R. F., ECONOMY, J. & MATKOVICH, V. I. (1966). Acta Cryst. 20, 697.
- HOARD, J. L. & HUGHES, R. E. (1967). *The Chemistry of Boron and its Compounds*. Ch. 2. Ed. E. MUETTERTIES. New York: John Wiley.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1962). Vol. III. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- KOHN, J. A., KATZ, G. & GIARDINI, A. A. (1958). Z. Kristallogr. 111, 53.
- LIPSCOMB, W. N. & BRITTON, D. (1960). J. Chem. Phys. 33, 275.
- LONGUET-HIGGINS, H. C. & ROBERTS, M. DE V. (1955). Proc. Roy. Soc. A 230, 110.
- MATKOVICH, V. I., ECONOMY, J. & GIESE, R. F. (1964). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 86, 2337.
- WILL, G. (1963). J. Amer. Chem. Soc. 85, 2335.
- WILL, G. (1966). Nature, Lond. 212, 175.
- WILL, G. (1967). Acta Cryst. 23, 1071.
- WILL, G. (1969). Acta Cryst. B25, 1219.

Acta Cryst. (1969). B25, 1229

Die Struktur eines Phosphor(III,V)-Sulfids der ungefähren Zusammensetzung P₄S₉.

VON WALTRAUD HILMER

Institut für anorganische Chemie der Deutschen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Rudower Chaussee, 1199 Berlin, Deutschland

(Eingegangen am 24. Juni 1968)

P₄S₉ II crystallizes in space group *Ia*3 (a = 17.60 Å). The molecules are very similar to the P₄O₉ molecule: 4 P atoms at the corners of a trigonal pyramid are linked by 6 S atoms; the remaining three S are terminal S atoms attached to 3 P atoms.

Einleitung

In der Literatur wurden die Strukturen von Phosphor-Schwefel-Verbindungen der Zusammensetzung P_4S_3 (van Houten, Vos & Wiegers, 1955; Leung, Waser, van Houten, Vos, Wiegers & Wiebenga, 1957), P_4S_5 , P_4S_7 und P_4S_{10} (van Houten & Wiebenga, 1957; Vos & Wiebenga, 1955; Vos, Olthof, van Bolhuis & Botterweg, 1965) in zwei- bzw. dreidimensionaler Verfeinerung bereits eingehend beschrieben.

Meisel (1968) fand eine neue Phosphorsulfidphase der Zusammensetzung $P_4S_{8,5}$ - P_4S_9 , in der etwa 25% als